

Exhibit 2

[Page 1]
January 17, 2022

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

1

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

----- X

ANTHONY RAPP and C.D.,

Civil Action No. 1:20-cv-09586 (LAK)

Plaintiffs,

- against -

KEVIN SPACEY FOWLER a/k/a KEVIN SPACEY,

Defendant.

----- X

Zoom Deposition

January 17, 2022

11:06 a.m.

EXAMINATION BEFORE TRIAL of the
Defendant, KEVIN FOWLER, pursuant to Order, held on
the above date and time, via videoconference, taken
by and before KRYSTINA KORNAK FLORA, RPR, a Notary
Public within and for the State of New York.

[Page 45]
January 17, 2022

(1) (1) - KEVIN FOWLER - 45

(2) (2) MS. KELLER: Objection. Argumentative.

(3) (3) Q. When did you form the opinion that it
(4) (4) didn't happen?

(5) (5) MS. KELLER: Objection. No foundation
(6) (6) that this is an opinion.

(7) (7) Q. You can answer.

(8) (8) A. I had always had questions about
(9) (9) Mr. Rapp's allegation even from the very moment that
(10) (10) his article was printed, and those questions
(11) (11) persisted until I began to investigate, the
(12) (12) questions I didn't have answers to and the questions
(13) (13) in the article that Mr. Rapp didn't supply.

(14) (14) And once I began to answer those
(15) (15) questions and I began to see the factual evidence
(16) (16) that would prove to me that the allegation that
(17) (17) Mr. Rapp made couldn't have possibly happened, and
(18) (18) then I couldn't have imagined that I would end up
(19) (19) being in a lawsuit and there would end up being
(20) (20) testimony, then it would be discovery, and this
(21) (21) process and testimony that has entirely made me know
(22) (22) that the claim that Mr. Rapp made against me did not
(23) (23) happen.

(24) (24) Q. What evidence and testimony are you
(25) (25) referring to?

[Page 364]
January 17, 2022